

REMARKS

This is a response to the Official Action dated June 3, 2008. Claims 1, 2, and 3 have been amended, no claims have been canceled, and no claims have been added; as such, claims 1-10 are now pending in this application. Claim 1 is the only independent claim. The claims have been amended because a common pole cannot exist if there is only one analog commutating device. Reconsideration and allowance is requested in view of the following remarks. No new matter has been added by this amendment.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing arguments, all claims are believed to be in condition for allowance. If any further issues remain, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned to resolve them.

This response is believed to be a complete response to the Office Action. However, Applicant reserves the right to set forth further arguments supporting the patentability of their claims, including the separate patentability of the dependent claims not explicitly addressed herein, in future papers. Further, for any instances in which the Examiner took Official Notice in the Office Action, Applicant expressly does not acquiesce to the taking of Official Notice, and respectfully request that the Examiner provide an affidavit to support the Official Notice taken in the next Office Action, as required by 37 CFR 1.104(d)(2) and MPEP § 2144.03.

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 18-0013, under Order No. DAD-0020 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: November 21, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By Maulin M. Patel

Maulin M. Patel

Registration No.: 56,029
RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC
Correspondence Customer Number: 23353
Attorney for Applicant